



Laboratoire Kastler Brossel Collège de France, ENS, UPMC, CNRS

Anomalous momentum diffusion

of strongly interacting bosons in optical lattices

Fabrice Gerbier

Jérôme Beugnon

Manel Bosch Aguilera

Raphaël Bouganne

Alexis Ghermaoui

《曰》《曰》 《曰》 《曰》 《曰》

Humboldt Kolleg, Vilnius, August 1st 2018

Ytterbium team at LKB



Former members : Q. Beaufils A. Dareau D. Doering M. Scholl E. Soave

Some recent works :

• Revealing the Topology of Quasicrystals with a Diffraction Experiment

[Dareau et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 21530 (2017).

• Clock spectroscopy of interacting bosons in deep optical lattices

[Bouganne et al., New J. Phys. 19, 113006 (2017).

Optical lattices :

interference pattern can be used to trap atoms in a periodic structure

Bosons in the Bose-Hubbard regime :

- 1 Quantum tunneling favor delocalization
- 2 Repulsive on-site interactions favor localization

Quantum phase transition from a superfluid, Bose-condensed ground state to a Mott insulator



Greiner et al., Nature 2002. Energy/Temperature scales : nanoKelvin Time scales $\sim 10~{\rm ms}$







Time-of-flight interferences :

essentially a free flight revealing the momentum distribution

$$n_{\mathrm{tof}}(\boldsymbol{r},r) \approx n\left(\boldsymbol{K} = \frac{M\boldsymbol{r}}{\hbar t}\right) = \mathcal{G}\left(\boldsymbol{K}\right)\mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{K}\right)$$

- smooth "Wannier" enveloppe $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$
- structure factor $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{K}) = \sum_{i,j} e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_i)} \langle \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} a_j \rangle$

Single-particle density matrix : $\rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}') = \langle \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \hat{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{r}') \rangle$

Contrast of interference fringes when two matter waves overlap.

Lattice version : $C(i, j) = \langle \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j \rangle$

Momentum distribution $n(\mathbf{k})$: Fourier transform of $\rho^{(1)}$

Lattice version : $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{K})$



Quantum-degenerate ¹⁷⁴Yb atoms in a 3D optical lattice

How does spontaneous emission destroy the spatial coherences initially present in the superfluid ?



Absorption/spontaneous emission cycles with rate γ_{sp}

Momentum diffusion :

- Random momentum kicks after SE
- Random walk in momentum space: $\Delta k = \sqrt{2Dt} D$: Diffusion coefficient
- Central role in laser cooling : limit temperature $T \propto \frac{D}{\text{friction}}$



Equivalent point of view : destruction of spatial coherences $\rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{r}')$

- Spatial correlations beyond $\lambda_0/(2\pi)$ strongly suppressed [Pfau *et al.*, PRL 1994]
- Exponential decay in time for given r, r'
- Interpretation : Modern version of Heisenberg's microscope

continuous, weak measurements of the atom position [Marsteiner et al., PRL 1996]

Anomalous momentum diffusion

Basic analysis : monitor $n_{k=0} \equiv n_0$ (proxy for condensed fraction)



- Exponential (linear ...) decay at short times t ≤ t_{cross}
- Algebraic decay at long times: $\Delta k \sim t^{\alpha}$, $n_{k=0} \sim 1/t^{2\alpha}$ with $\alpha < 1/2$
- Normal momentum diffusion : exponential decay

A more precise analysis of the momentum distribution



Continuous, weak measurement theory

Dissipative Bose-Hubbard model :

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] - \gamma \hat{\mathcal{L}}[\hat{\rho}], \qquad \hat{\mathcal{L}}[\hat{\rho}] = \sum_{i} \hat{n}_{i} \hat{\rho} \hat{n}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{n}_{i}^{2} \hat{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\rho} \hat{n}_{i}^{2}$$

Poletti et al., PRL 2012, PRL 2013 (Kollath/Georges group) See also Pichler et al., PRA 2010 (Zoller group), Yanay and Mueller, PRA 2012



N bosons in two wells L,R.Fock basis : $|n\rangle = |n_L = n, n_R = N - n\rangle$ Populations : $\rho_{n,n} = \langle n|\hat{\rho}|n\rangle$ Coherences : $\rho_{m,n} = \langle m|\hat{\rho}|n\rangle, m \neq n$

- Fock states are pointer states: $\langle n | \hat{\mathcal{L}} [\hat{\rho}] | n \rangle = 0$
- Coherences decay : $\langle m | \hat{\mathcal{L}} [\hat{\rho}] | n
 angle = rac{1}{2} \left(n m
 ight)^2
 ho_{m,n}$
- Role of tunneling : partial restoration of short-range spatial coherence

 \implies allows relaxation of populations

Effective Pauli master equation in the decoherent regime

Adiabatic elimination of fast variables (coherences)

Effective master equation for the probability p_n to find n atoms per site ($\Delta t \gg \gamma^{-1}$) :

$$\dot{p}_n \equiv \frac{\Delta p_n}{\Delta t} = W_{n+1}p_{n+1} + W_{n-1}p_{n-1} - 2W_np_n$$

Poletti et al., PRL 2012, PRL 2013



Three successive stages in the relaxation :

• $t \leq \gamma^{-1}$: initial relaxation of coherences (not described by the master equation),

2 $\gamma^{-1} < \gamma t \ll t^*$: algebraic regime with slow decay of populations,

3 $t \gtrsim t^*$: final relaxation to the (infite temperature) steady state.

From regular to anomalous diffusion

Mapping to a Fokker-Planck equation for $N \gg 1$: $n \to x = \frac{n - \frac{N}{2}}{N}$, $p_n \to Np(x)$

$$\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big[D(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} p(x,t) \Big]$$

Scaling solution: $p(x) = \frac{1}{\tau^{\beta}} f\left(u = \frac{x}{\tau^{\beta}}\right)$ If $D \sim x^{-\eta}$, scaling exponent $\beta = \frac{1}{2+\eta}$

 $\hbar\gamma \gg NU: D \approx \frac{2J^2}{\hbar^2\gamma}$

- "Quantum Zeno effect" Patil, Chakram, Vengalattore, PRL 2015
- D uniform : regular diffusion
- Scaling exponent $\beta = 1/2$



 $\hbar\gamma \ll NU : D \approx \frac{J^2\gamma}{(NU)^2} \times \frac{1}{x^2}$

- "Interaction-induced impeding of decoherence"
- Power-law tail : Anomalous (sub-)diffusion
- Scaling exponent $\beta = 1/4$ Poletti *et al.*, PRL 2012, PRL 2013
 - ・ロト・西ト・西ト・西ト・日・ つんの

Coherence decay exponents versus lattice depth V_{\perp}

Phase coherence, scaling regime :

$$C_{nn} = \langle \hat{a}_{i\pm 1}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i \rangle \propto \frac{1}{t^{2\beta}} \qquad \bigcup^{\exists 10^{-1}} \qquad C_{nn} \approx \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{2z\gamma t}} \text{ if } \begin{cases} \gamma \to 0 \\ \overline{n} \to \infty \end{cases}$$

Comparison with experimental decay exponents of C_{nn} :



 $\overline{n}=2$ U/J=20 $\gamma/U=0$

 10^{2}

 10^{1}

 γt





Decoherence of a bosonic many-body system under spontaneous emission Main message : Interactions slow down decoherence

- Observation of anomalous momentum diffusion : $\Delta k \sim t^{1/4}$ instead of $\Delta k \sim \sqrt{t}$
- Interpretation as a signature of an underlying anomalous diffusion in Fock space
- Direct observation of Fock space dynamics using three-body losses
- Why is the "Poletti at al." model working ?

Many effects left out : dipole-dipole interactions, superradiance, interband transitions \ldots

 Numerical study of XXZ chains [Cai and Barthel, PRL 2013] under dephasing : similar power-law slowdown of behavior as we observed (but not the Ising chain) Universality classes also relevant for non-equilibrium phenomena/decoherence ?

Loss dynamics after freezing

- Same experiment as before : illumination with near-resonant laser for t_{diss}
- then raise the horizontal lattice to "freeze" the density distribution
- wait for t_{hold} : three-body losses empty sites with $n \ge 3$
- monitor losses to extract $p(n \ge 3)$



SF 5Er – $t_{\rm diss} = 2500 \ \mu s$

Solid lines : fit with known loss time constants to extract $N_3 = 3p(3)$, etc ...

▲口▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のえで

Evolution of triply-occupied sites



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

From fits to momentum profiles :



▲□▶▲圖▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のへで